IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI.W.P.NO.1975 OF 1999

M/s.Mrinal Texturising
Ind.Ltd. and Ors. ..Petitioners

Vs.

Innovation Garments Ltd.
and Anr. ..Respondents

....
Mr.S.V.Marwadi Adv. for Petitioners
Respondent No.1 served
Mr.A.S.Shitole A.P.P. for Respondent No.2
....

WITH
CRI.W.P.NO.1978 OF 1999

M/s.Mrinal Texturising
Ind.Ltd. and Ors. ...Petitioners

Vs.

M/s.Eicher Span Financial
Services Ltd. and Anr. ...Respondents

....
Mr.S.V.Marwadi Adv. for Petitioners
Respondent No.1 served
Mr.A.S.Shitole A.P.P. for Respondent No.2
....

WITH
CRI.W.P.NO.1981 OF 1999

M/s.Mrinal Dyeing and
Mfg.Co.Ltd. and Ors. ..Petitioners

Vs.

M/s.Eicher Span Financial
Services Ltd.and Anr. ..Respondents

....

Mr.S.V.Marwadi Adv. for Petitioners
Respondent No.1 served
Mr.A.S.Shitole A.P.P. for Respondent No.2

....

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.

DATE : JULY 31,2006

P.C.

1. Mr.S.V.Marwadi, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that the point in these petitions, is squarely covered by the decision in **Pankaj Mehra Vs. State of Maharashtra reported in AIR SCW 2000 page 991**. In view of the above statement, these petitions are disposed of.

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]